**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN**

**WRIT PETITION No.8659 of 2006**

**Dated 26-04-2006**

Between:

R.Venkat Ram Reddy.

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

The District Coop. Central Bank Ltd. rep by its General Manager & others.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN**

**WRIT PETITION No.8659 of 2006**

**O R D E R:**

This petition is filed against the proceedings dated 18-03-2006, whereby the petitioner was directed to show cause as to why he should not be dismissed from service.

Sri S. Lakshma Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that the petitioner has been found guilty of the charges in gross violation of the principles

of natural justice and that the present proceedings asking the petitioner to show cause is an empty formality and it is, in effect, an order of punishment. Learned counsel would submit that in the proceedings calling upon him to show cause against the proposed punishment, the petitioner would not be entitled to canvass against the findings of the enquiry officer or the manner in which the enquiry was conducted in gross violation of the principles of natural justice.

It is well settled that it is only where a show cause notice is issued without jurisdiction, would this Court be justified in exercising its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. It is not disputed by Sri S.Lakshma Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, that the authority which issued the impugned show cause notice had the jurisdiction to do so. All the contentions now being canvassed can be raised by the petitioner, if he is aggrieved, by any final orders, which may be passed by the competent authority. I see no reason to interfere against the impugned proceedings asking the petitioner to show cause.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____ 26-04-2006

usd

-